

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/758,816	01/16/2004	Michael W. Murphy	8540G-000233	6583	
	7590 12/23/200 ICKEY & PIERCE, P.I	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 828			LEE, CYNTHIA K		
BLOOMFIELI) HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/758,816	MURPHY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CYNTHIA LEE	1795		

	OHITHMELL	1750						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 \(\)\[\)\[\]\[\)\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing								
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is 								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period value of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 27 CER 44 27 must be	Clad within two manths	a of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t 			cause					
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 		E below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		tucina or simplifyina th	ne issues for					
appeal; and/or	to roll of appear by materially roll	adding or ouriping a	10 100000 101					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•						
7. If or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of					
Claim(s) rejected to:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowand	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/PATRICK RYAN/	10 Hri- 1 1							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795	/Cynthia Lee/ Examiner, Art Unit 1795							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument regarding the objection to the Specification has been found persuasive. The objection has been withdrawn

Applicant argues that Komada does not disclose the flow path defined by claim 1, in that the spacer does not function to direct flow from the second planar manifold across the active element before it passes into the first planar manifold.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. It is noted that the orifice lies over said active element and thus reads on the limitation "orifice over said active element"